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#### Abstract

Globally framed $f$-manifolds are studied from the point of view of the curvature. Generalized globally framed $f$-space-forms are introduced and the interrelation with generalized Sasakian and generalized complex space-forms is pointed out. Suitable differential equations allow to discuss the constancy of the $\varphi$-sectional curvatures. Further results are stated when the underlying structure is a $\mathcal{K}$-structure or an $f$.pk-structure of Kenmotsu type.
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## Introduction

The study of the curvature and the classification of Riemannian manifolds under suitable curvature restrictions are classical problems. Analogous topics are treated in the context of almost Hermitian or contact Geometry. In particular, in [21], Tricerri and Vanhecke classified almost Hermitian manifolds $M^{2 n}$ which are generalized complex space-forms, in dimension $2 n \geq 6$, whereas, in [20], Olszak stated the classification of such spaces for $n=2$. In the context of almost contact metric manifolds, Alegre, Blair and Carriazo introduced the concept of generalized Sasakian-space-form, proving partial classification results. Even if the problem of the classification of these spaces is quite far from being solved, several efforts on the subject are due to Bueken and Vanhecke ([6]) and to Kim ([17]). In this paper, we extend the notion of generalized Sasakian-space-form to the class of metric $f . p k$-manifolds $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right), i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. We call them generalized $f . p k$-space-forms, requiring that the curvature involves a set of smooth functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{i j}$, with $F_{i j}=F_{j i}$ for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. General properties and a characterization of generalized $f . p k$-space-forms are obtained. In particular, one gets the pointwise constancy of the $\varphi$-sectional curvatures $c=F_{1}+3 F_{2}$. Several applications of the second Bianchi identity allow to relate the 1 -forms $d F_{1}, d F_{2}, d F_{i j}$ to the covariant derivatives $\nabla \varphi, \nabla \eta^{i}, \nabla$ denoting the Levi-Civita connection. We discuss the constancy of the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}$ along the distribution $\mathcal{D}=\operatorname{Im\varphi }$, which in this case is $C R$-integrable. This allows to clarify the interrelation between generalized $f . p k$-space-forms and generalized complex space-forms. The last two sections deal with the spaces whose underlying $f . p k$-structure is of a particular type, namely it is either a $\mathcal{K}$-structure ([9]) or an $f . p k$-structure of Kenmotsu type ([11]).

All manifolds are assumed to be connected. For the curvature of a Riemannian manifold we adopt the definitions $R(X, Y)=\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]-\nabla_{[X, Y]}$ and $R(X, Y, Z, W)=g(X, R(Z, W, Y))$. We also use the Einstein convention, omitting the sum symbol for repeated indexes, if there is no doubt.
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## 1 Preliminaries

In the class of $f$-structures introduced in 1963 by Yano [22], particularly interesting are the so-called $f$-structures with complemented frames, also called globally framed $f$-structures or $f$-structures with parallelizable kernel (briefly $f . p k$-structures) ( $[3,14,10]$ ). An $f . p k$-manifold is a manifold $M^{2 n+s}$ on which is defined an $f$-structure, that is a ( 1,1 )-tensor field $\varphi$ satisfying $\varphi^{3}+\varphi=0$, of rank $2 n$, such that the subbundle ker $\varphi$ is parallelizable. Then, there exists a global frame $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}, i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, for the subbundle ker $\varphi$, with dual 1-forms $\eta^{i}$, satisfying $\varphi^{2}=-I+\eta^{i} \otimes \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\delta_{j}^{i}$, from which $\varphi \xi_{i}=0, \eta^{i} \circ \varphi=0$ follow. An $f . p k$-structure on a manifold $M^{2 n+s}$ is said to be normal if the tensor field $N=[\varphi, \varphi]+2 d \eta^{i} \otimes \xi_{i}$ vanishes, $[\varphi, \varphi]$ denoting the Nijenhuis torsion of $\varphi$. It is known that one can consider a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M^{2 n+s}$ associated with an $f . p k$-structure ( $\varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}$ ), such that $g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=g(X, Y)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i}(X) \eta^{i}(Y)$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$, and the structure $\left(\varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ is then called a metric f.pk-structure. Therefore, $T M^{2 n+s}$ splits as complementary orthogonal sum of its subbundles $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$ and $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$. We denote their respective differentiable distributions by $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$. Let $\Phi$ denote the 2-form on $M^{2 n+s}$ defined by $\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$.

Several subclasses have been studied from different points of view ( $[3,4,7,10,9,11,12]$ ), also dropping the normality condition and, in this case, the term almost precedes the name of the considered structures or manifolds. As in ([3]), a metric $f . p k$-structure is said a $\mathcal{K}$-structure if it is normal and the fundamental 2 -form $\Phi$ is closed; a manifold with a $\mathcal{K}$-structure is called a $\mathcal{K}$-manifold. In particular, if $d \eta^{i}=\Phi$, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, the $\mathcal{K}$-structure is said an $\mathcal{S}$-structure and $M^{2 n+s}$ an $\mathcal{S}$-manifold. Finally, if $d \eta^{i}=0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, then the $\mathcal{K}$-structure is called a $\mathcal{C}$-structure and $M^{2 n+s}$ is said a $\mathcal{C}$-manifold. Obviously, if $s=1$, a $\mathcal{K}$-manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ is a quasi Sasakian manifold, a $\mathcal{C}$-manifold is a cosymplectic manifold and an $\mathcal{S}$-manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

We recall that the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of a metric $f . p k$-manifold satisfies the following formula $([3,10]):$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)= & 3 d \Phi(X, \varphi Y, \varphi Z)-3 d \Phi(X, Y, Z) \\
& +g(N(Y, Z), \varphi X)+N_{j}^{(2)}(Y, Z) \eta^{j}(X)  \tag{1}\\
& +2 d \eta^{j}(\varphi Y, X) \eta^{j}(Z)-2 d \eta^{j}(\varphi Z, X) \eta^{j}(Y),
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{j}^{(2)}$ is given by $N_{j}^{(2)}(X, Y)=2 d \eta^{j}(\varphi X, Y)-2 d \eta^{j}(\varphi Y, X)$.
Furthermore, for $\mathcal{S}$-manifolds we have $\nabla_{X} \xi_{j}=-\varphi X, j=1, \ldots, s,([3])$. Putting $\bar{\xi}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \xi_{j}$, $\bar{\eta}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \eta^{j}$ is its dual form with respect to $g$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \bar{\xi}+\bar{\eta}(Y) \varphi^{2}(X) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that (2) together with $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{i}} g=0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{i}} \eta^{j}=0, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, characterizes the $\mathcal{S}$ manifolds among the metric $f . p k$-manifolds.

A metric $f . p k$-manifold ( $M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g$ ) has pointwise constant (p.c.) $\varphi$-sectional curvature if at any $p \in M^{2 n+s}, c(p)=R_{p}(X, \varphi X, X, \varphi X)$ does not depend on the $\varphi$-section spanned by $\{X, \varphi X\}$, for any unit $X \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$. Several results involving the pointwise constancy of the $\varphi$-sectional curvatures of an almost contact metric manifold (i.e. for $s=1$ ) are recently obtained in [1, 2, 6, 17]. We refer to [5] for a systematic exposition of the classical curvature results on contact metric manifolds. We recall some known results.

Proposition 1. A Sasaki manifold $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ has p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c \in \mathfrak{F}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$ if and only if its curvature tensor field verifies

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z)= & \frac{c+3}{4}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \\
& +\frac{c-1}{4}\{g(X, \varphi Z) \varphi Y-g(Y, \varphi Z) \varphi X+2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z \\
& +\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X  \tag{3}\\
& +g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi\}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+1}\right)$.
A Sasaki manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ with constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a Sasakian-spaceform and denoted by $M^{2 n+1}(c)$. It is well known that, if $n \geq 2$, a Sasaki manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ with p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ is a Sasakian-space-form.

Definition 1. ([1, 6]) An almost contact metric manifold ( $M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) is a generalized Sasakian-space-form, denoted by $M^{2 n+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, if it admits three smooth functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ such that its curvature tensor field verifies, for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z)= & f_{1}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \\
& +f_{2}\{g(X, \varphi Z) \varphi Y-g(Y, \varphi Z) \varphi X+2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z\} \\
& +f_{3}\{\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X  \tag{4}\\
& +g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi\}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1. Any generalized Sasakian-space-form has p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c=f_{1}+3 f_{2}$. Obviously, a Sasaki manifold of p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ satisfies (4) with $f_{1}=(c+3) / 4$, and $f_{2}=f_{3}=(c-1) / 4$. A cosymplectic manifold with p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ satisfies (4) with $f_{1}=f_{2}=f_{3}=c / 4$.

Proposition 2. ([19, 3]) An $S$-manifold $M^{2 n+s}$ has p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c \in \mathcal{F}\left(M^{2 n+s}\right)$ if and only if its curvature tensor field verifies

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z)= & \frac{c+3 s}{4}\left\{g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} Y-g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} X\right\} \\
& +\frac{c-s}{4}\{g(Z, \varphi Y) \varphi X-g(Z, \varphi X) \varphi Y+2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z\} \\
& +\bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\eta}(Z) \varphi^{2} Y-\bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\eta}(Z) \varphi^{2} X  \tag{5}\\
& +g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\xi}-g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\xi},
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$.
An $S$-manifold $M^{2 n+s}$ with constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an $S$-space-form and denoted by $M^{2 n+s}(c)$. Moreover, it is also well known that if $n \geq 2$ then an $S$-manifold with p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ is an $S$-space-form. We remark that for $s=1$ (5) reduces to (3).

## 2 Generalized f.pk-space-forms

Proposition 3. Let $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ be a metric $f . p k$-manifold. Then we have
a) $g\left(\varphi\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y, Z\right)=0$, for any $Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$
b) $g(\varphi(N(Y, Z))+N(\varphi Y, Z), X)=0$, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$,
c) $2 g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)=3 d \Phi(X, \varphi Y, \varphi Z)-3 d \Phi(X, Y, Z)+g(N(Y, Z), \varphi X)$, for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof: Covariantly differentiating $\varphi^{2}=-I+\eta^{k} \otimes \xi_{k}$ with respect to any $X \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$, we get $\varphi \circ\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) \circ \varphi=\left(\nabla_{X} \eta^{k}\right) \otimes \xi_{k}+\eta^{k} \otimes \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}$ and the first relation follows. We obtain b) since, for $Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$, using the definition of $N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(N(Y, Z))= & -N(\varphi Y, Z)-\eta^{k}\left([Y, \varphi Z] \xi_{k}+\eta^{k}(Y)\left[\xi_{k}, \varphi Z\right]\right. \\
& -\varphi(Z)\left(\eta^{k}(Y)\right) \xi_{k}-\eta^{k}(Y) \varphi\left(\left[\xi_{k}, Z\right]\right)+2 d \eta^{k}(\varphi Y, Z) \xi_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, c) follows from (1).
Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote any set of smooth functions $F_{i j}$ on $M^{2 n+s}$ such that $F_{i j}=F_{j i}$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
Definition 2. A generalized $f$.pk-space-form, denoted by $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$, is a metric $f$.pk-manifold $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ which admits smooth functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}$ such that its curvature tensor field verifies

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z)= & F_{1}\left\{g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} Y-g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} X\right\} \\
& +F_{2}\{g(Z, \varphi Y) \varphi X-g(Z, \varphi X) \varphi Y+2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z\} \\
& +\sum_{i, j=1}^{s} F_{i j}\left\{\eta^{i}(X) \eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2} Y-\eta^{i}(Y) \eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2} X\right.  \tag{6}\\
& \left.+g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \eta^{i}(X) \xi_{j}-g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \eta^{i}(Y) \xi_{j}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

For $s=1$, we obtain a generalized Sasakian-space-form $M^{2 n+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ with $f_{1}=F_{1}, f_{2}=F_{2}$ and $f_{3}=F_{1}-F_{11}$. In particular, if the given structure is either Sasakian, or Kenmotsu, or possibly cosymplectic, then (6) holds with $F_{11}=1, F_{1}=(c+3) / 4, F_{2}=(c-1) / 4$ and $f_{3}=F_{1}-F_{11}=(c-1) / 4=$ $f_{2}$ in the first case, $F_{11}=-1, F_{1}=(c-3) / 4, F_{2}=(c+1) / 4$ and $f_{3}=F_{1}-F_{11}=(c+1) / 4=f_{2}$ in the second case, and $F_{11}=0, F_{1}=c / 4, F_{2}=c / 4$ and $f_{3}=c / 4$ in the last case.

From (6), by direct computations, we get the following links between the curvatures and the functions in $\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 4. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f . p k$-space-form. We have:

1) the $\varphi$-sectional curvature is p.c. $c=F_{1}+3 F_{2}$,
2) $R\left(X, \xi_{h}, X, \xi_{k}\right)=F_{h k}$, for any unit $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and any $h, k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$,
3) for any unit $X \in \mathcal{D}$, for the sectional curvature we get $K\left(\xi_{k}, X\right)=F_{k k}$ and $K(\bar{\xi}, X)=\sum_{h, k=1}^{s} F_{h k}$.

Proposition 5. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form. For any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ we have:

1) $R\left(X, \xi_{k}, Z\right)=-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j}\left\{g(\varphi Z, \varphi X) \xi_{j}+\eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2}(X)\right\}$, for any $X, Z$,
2) $R\left(X, Z, \xi_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j}\left\{\eta^{j}(X) \varphi^{2}(Z)-\eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2}(X)\right\}$, for any $X, Z$,
3) $R\left(X, \xi_{k}, Z\right)=-g(Z, X) \sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} \xi_{j}, \quad X, Z \in \mathcal{D}$,
4) $R\left(X, Z, \xi_{k}\right)=0, \quad X, Z \in \mathcal{D}$.

We consider the $(0,4)$ tensor field $P$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
P(X, Y, Z, W)= & g(X, \varphi Z) g(Y, W)-g(X, \varphi W) g(Y, Z)  \tag{7}\\
& -g(Y, \varphi Z) g(X, W)+g(Y, \varphi W) g(X, Z) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 6. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form. For any $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{D}$, we have:

1) $g(R(X, Y, Z), \varphi W)+g(R(X, Y, \varphi Z), W)=\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) P(X, Y, Z, W)$,
2) $R(X, Y, \varphi X, \varphi Y)=R(X, Y, X, Y)+\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) P(X, Y, \varphi X, Y)$,
3) $R(\varphi X, \varphi Y, \varphi Z, \varphi W)=R(X, Y, Z, W)$,
4) $R(X, \varphi X, Y, \varphi Y)=R(X, Y, X, Y)+R(X, \varphi Y, X, \varphi Y)-2\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) P(X, Y, X, \varphi Y)$.

Proposition 7. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f . p k$-space-form such that $\nabla \xi_{k}=-\varphi$ for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Then, $M^{2 n+s}$ is an S-manifold, $F_{1}=(c+3 s) / 4, F_{2}=(c-s) / 4$ and $F_{i j}=1$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Proof: Since $\nabla \xi_{k}=-\varphi$ implies that $\xi_{k}$ is Killing and $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{k}} \eta^{h}=0$ for any $h, k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, we have $R\left(X, \xi_{k}, Z\right)=\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Z} \xi_{k}-\nabla_{\nabla_{X} Z} \xi_{k}=-\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z$ and, from Proposition 5,

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z=\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j}\left\{g(\varphi Z, \varphi X) \xi_{j}+\eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2} X\right\}
$$

It follows that, for any $h, k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $X, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$

$$
g(\varphi Z, \varphi X) \sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} \xi_{j}=g(\varphi Z, \varphi X) \sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{h j} \xi_{j}, .
$$

Hence we get $F_{h j}=F_{k j}=F_{11}$ and $\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z=F_{11}\left\{g(\varphi Z, \varphi X) \bar{\xi}+\bar{\eta}(Z) \varphi^{2} X\right\}$. Then, putting $Z=\xi_{k}$, using $\nabla \xi_{k}=-\varphi$, we obtain $F_{11}=1$ and $\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z=g(\varphi Z, \varphi X) \bar{\xi}+\bar{\eta}(Z) \varphi^{2} X$, which, together with $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{k}} \eta^{h}=0$ and the Killing condition on the $\xi_{i}$ 's, characterizes the $S$-manifolds. Finally, for such manifolds we have $g(R(X, Y, Z), \varphi W)+g(R(X, Y, \varphi Z), W)=-s P(X, Y, Z, W)$, hence $F_{1}-F_{2}=s$, which, being $F_{1}+3 F_{2}=c$, gives $F_{2}=(c-s) / 4$ and $F_{1}=(c+3 s) / 4$.

Proposition 8. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f$.pk-space-form such that any $\xi_{k}$ is a parallel vector field. Then, $F_{i j}=0$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Proof: Since $\nabla \xi_{k}=0$, we have $R\left(X, \xi_{k}, Z\right)=0$ for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $X, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$. Then, Proposition 5 implies $\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} \xi_{j}=0$, for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, from which we obtain $F_{k j}=0$.

Remark 2. If $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a generalized $f . p k$-space-form with an underlying $C$-structure, then any $\xi_{k}$ is a parallel vector field and $F_{i j}=0$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Moreover, $g(R(X, Y, Z), \varphi W)+$ $g(R(X, Y, \varphi Z), W)=0$ and so $F_{1}=F_{2}$ which together with $F_{1}+3 F_{2}=c$ gives $F_{2}=F_{1}=c / 4$.

Proposition 9. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form and suppose that $\nabla \xi_{k}=0$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\nabla \xi_{1}=-\varphi^{2}$. Then $F_{11}=-1$ and $F_{i j}=0$ for any $(i, j) \neq(1,1)$.

Proof: Since $\nabla \xi_{k}=0$, for $k \geq 2$, arguing as in the above Proposition, we have $F_{k j}=0$, for any $(k, j) \neq(1,1)$. From Proposition 5 we get $R\left(X, Y, \xi_{1}\right)=F_{11}\left\{-\eta^{1}(Y) \varphi^{2} X+\eta^{1}(X) \varphi^{2} Y\right\}$, while, by direct computation, we have $R\left(X, Y, \xi_{1}\right)=\eta^{1}(Y) \varphi^{2} X-\eta^{1}(X) \varphi^{2} Y$. Thus $F_{11}=-1$ follows.

Definition 3. Let $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ be a metric $f . p k$-manifold and $\mathcal{H}$ a set of smooth functions $H_{i j}$ on $M^{2 n+s}$ such that $H_{i j}=H_{j i}$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. For any $p \in M^{2 n+s}$ we denote by $N_{p}(\mathcal{H})$ the linear space consisting of the vectors $Z$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, Z)= & \sum_{i, j=1}^{s} H_{i j}\left\{\eta^{i}(X) \eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2} Y-\eta^{i}(Y) \eta^{j}(Z) \varphi^{2} X\right. \\
& \left.\left.+g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \eta^{i}(X) \xi_{j}-g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \eta^{i}(Y) \xi_{j}\right\} .\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We call $N(\mathcal{H})$-distribution the distribution $p \in M^{2 n+s} \rightarrow N_{p}(\mathcal{H})$.
It is easy to verify that the distribution $N(\mathcal{H})$ is integrable and any $\xi_{k}$ belongs to it if and only if $R\left(X, Y, \xi_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} H_{i k}\left\{\eta^{i}(X) \varphi^{2} Y-\eta^{i}(Y) \varphi^{2} X\right\}$.

Now, we are able to state the following characterization.

Theorem 1. A metric f.pk-manifold $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ is a generalized f.pk-space-form if and only if
a) $M^{2 n+s}$ has p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$,
b) there exists a smooth function $l \in \mathfrak{F}\left(M^{2 n+s}\right)$ such that for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$

$$
R(X, Y, \varphi X, \varphi Y)-R(X, Y, X, Y)=l P(X, Y, \varphi X, Y)
$$

c) all the vector fields $\xi_{k}$ belong to an $N(\mathcal{H})$-distribution.

Proof: Let us suppose that $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a generalized $f . p k$-space-form. Then a),b), c), are satisfied with $c=F_{1}+3 F_{2}, l=F_{1}-F_{2}$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{F}$.
Vice versa, let $M^{2 n+s}$ be a metric $f . p k$-manifold. By a direct computation, using the first Bianchi identity, one proves the following formula for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 6(R(X, Y, X, Y)+R(\varphi X, \varphi Y, \varphi X, \varphi Y))+20 R(X, Y, \varphi X, \varphi Y) \\
& \quad-2(R(X, \varphi Y, X, \varphi Y)+R(\varphi X, Y, \varphi X, Y)+2 R(X, \varphi Y, \varphi X, Y)) \\
& =3(D(X+\varphi Y)+D(X-\varphi Y))-4(D(X)+D(Y))  \tag{8}\\
& -D(X+Y)-D(X-Y)
\end{align*}
$$

where $D(X)=R(X, \varphi X, X, \varphi X)$. We denote by $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ the (1,3)-tensor fields given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1}(X, Y, Z)=g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} Y-g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} X \\
T_{2}(X, Y, Z)=g(Z, \varphi Y) \varphi X-g(Z, \varphi X) \varphi Y+2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z
\end{gathered}
$$

and with the same symbol the associated (0,4)-tensor fields, which are algebraic curvature tensor fields, as it can be easily proved. By some computations we can rewrite the right side of (8) as $8 c\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)(X, Y, X, Y)$ and we also obtain $\left(3 T_{1}-T_{2}\right)(X, Y, X, Y)=-3 P(X, Y, \varphi X, Y)$. Using b) and (8) we get $R(X, Y, X, Y)=\left(\frac{c+3 l}{4} T_{1}+\frac{c-l}{4} T_{2}\right)(X, Y, X, Y)$, from which, for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$
R(X, Y, Z, W)=\left(\frac{c+3 l}{4} T_{1}+\frac{c-l}{4} T_{2}\right)(X, Y, Z, W)
$$

Finally, using c), with standard technique, we obtain that the tensor fields $R$ and $\frac{c+3 l}{4} T_{1}+\frac{c-l}{4} T_{2}$ coincide when computed on some or all $\xi_{k}$. Hence, the curvature tensor field $R$ verifies (6) with $F_{1}=(c+3 l) / 4$, $F_{2}=(c-l) / 4$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{H}$, so $M^{2 n+s}$ is a generalized $f . p k$-space-form.

## 3 The effects of the second Bianchi identity

Definition 4. An $f$.pk-space-form, denoted by $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)(c)$, is a generalized $f$.pk-space-form $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ which has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 1. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form, $n \geq 2$. Then, for any choice of
orthonormal vector fields $X, Y, \varphi Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and for any $k, h \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{k}\left(F_{1}\right)+F_{1}\left\{g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}, Y\right)\right\} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j}\left\{g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{j}, X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}, Y\right)\right\}=0 \\
& F_{1} g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}, \varphi Y\right)+F_{2} g\left(X, \varphi \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}, \varphi Y\right)=0 \\
& F_{1} g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}, \varphi X\right)-F_{2}\left\{3 g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \varphi\right) Y\right)+3 g\left(X, \varphi \nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right)\right.  \tag{9}\\
& \left.-2 g\left(Y, \varphi \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right)\right\}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}, \varphi X\right)=0 \\
& Y\left(F_{k h}\right)-F_{1} \eta^{h}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} Y\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} F_{i h} \eta^{i}\left(\left[\xi_{k}, Y\right]\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} \eta^{h}\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}\right)=0 \\
& \xi_{k}\left(F_{2}\right)+F_{2}\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}, Y\right)\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: We apply the second identity $\mathfrak{S}_{\xi_{k}, X, Y}\left(\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} R\right)(X, Y)\right) Z=0$ to $X, Y, Z$ vector fields in $\mathcal{D}$ with $\|X\|=\|Y\|=1$ and $g(Y, X)=g(\varphi Y, X)=0$, and choosing $Z=X$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -\xi_{k}\left(F_{1}\right) Y+\sum_{j=1}^{s} Y\left(F_{k j}\right) \xi_{j} \\
& +F_{1}\left\{\eta^{t}\left(\left[Y, \xi_{k}\right]\right) \xi_{t}-g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right) Y+g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}, X\right) X-\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right\} \\
& +F_{2}\left\{3 g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \varphi\right) Y\right) \varphi X+3 g\left(X, \varphi \nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right) \varphi X\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(X, \varphi \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right) \varphi Y-2 g\left(Y, \varphi \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right) \varphi X\right\} \\
& +\sum_{i, j=1}^{s} F_{i j}\left\{\eta^{i}\left(\left[\xi_{k}, Y\right]\right) \xi_{j}-\delta_{k}^{i} \eta^{j}\left(\nabla_{X} X\right) Y\right. \\
& \left.+\delta_{k}^{i} \nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}+\delta_{k}^{i} \eta^{j}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right) X\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the scalar product with $Y, \varphi Y, \varphi X$ and any $\xi_{h}$ we obtain the first four equations. Analogously, putting $Z=\varphi X$, we get the last equation.

Lemma 2. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form, $n \geq 2$. Then, for any choice of orthonormal vector fields $X, Y, \varphi Y \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y\left(F_{1}\right)+3 F_{2} g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right)=0 \\
& 2 X\left(F_{2}\right)=3 F_{2}\left\{g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) Y\right)-g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right)\right\}  \tag{10}\\
& F_{1} \eta^{t}([\varphi Y, Y])-F_{i t} \eta^{i}([\varphi Y, Y])+2 F_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X, \xi_{t}\right)=0, \text { for any } t .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: We apply the second Bianchi identity $\mathfrak{S}_{W, X, Y}\left(\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y)\right) Z=0$ to $X, Y, Z, W$ vector fields in $\mathcal{D}$. Then, choosing $Z=X, W=\varphi Y,\|X\|=\|Y\|=1$ and $g(Y, X)=g(\varphi Y, X)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -\varphi Y\left(F_{1}\right) Y-2 X\left(F_{2}\right) \varphi X+Y\left(F_{1}\right) \varphi Y-F_{1} \eta^{k}([\varphi Y, Y]) \xi_{k} \\
& +F_{2}\left\{3 g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) Y\right) \varphi X-3 g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right) \varphi X\right. \\
& \left.+g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right) \varphi Y+g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y\right) Y-2\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X\right\} \\
& +\sum_{i, j=1}^{s} F_{i j} \eta^{i}([\varphi Y, Y]) \xi_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the scalar product with $\varphi Y, \varphi X$ and any $\xi_{t}$ we get (10).
Theorem 2. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f$.pk-space-form, $n \geq 2$, and assume $F_{2}=0$. If $g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)=0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, then $c=F_{1}$ is constant.

Proof: The statement follows from the first equations in (9) and (10).

Theorem 3. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f$.pk-space-form, $n \geq 2$, and assume that $F_{2}$ never vanishes.

1) If $n=2$, then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are constant along $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$.
2) If $n \geq 3$, then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are constant along $\mathcal{D}$.

Furthermore, if $g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)=0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, then, in both cases, $F_{1}, F_{2}$ and $c$ are constant on $M^{2 n+s}$.

Proof: We consider orthonormal vector fields $X, Y, \varphi Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and from Lemma 2, since also $\varphi X, Y, \varphi Y$ are orthonormal, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y\left(F_{1}\right)+3 F_{2} g\left(\varphi X,\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together the first equation in (10), implies

$$
g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right)-g\left(\varphi X,\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right)=0
$$

Using b) and c) of Proposition 3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(N(X, Y), X)=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, interchanging $X$ and $Y$ in the second equation in (10), we obtain

$$
2 Y\left(F_{2}\right)=3 F_{2}\left\{g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \varphi\right) X\right)-g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) \varphi X\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y\left(F_{1}+F_{2}\right)=0, \quad Y\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)=9 F_{2} d \Phi(X, Y, \varphi X) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $d \Phi(X, Y, \varphi X)=0$ with $g(Y, X)=g(Y, \varphi X)=0$, is a necessary and sufficient condition to obtain that $F_{1}, F_{2}, c$ are constant along $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for $n=2$ such a condition is equivalent to $d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$ and 1 ) is proved.

Now, to prove 2), fixed $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{2 n}\right\}$ as local orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{D}$, we apply the Bianchi identity $\mathfrak{S}_{X, X_{h}, Y}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(X_{h}, Y\right)\right) \varphi X_{h}=0$. Then, summing over $h$ and using the first condition in (13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-2 n) X\left(F_{2}\right) \varphi Y+(2 n-1) Y\left(F_{2}\right) \varphi X-\varphi Y\left(F_{2}\right) X+\varphi X\left(F_{2}\right) Y \\
& -2 g(Y, \varphi X) \sum_{h} X_{h}\left(F_{2}\right) X_{h} \\
& +F_{1} \sum_{i}\left\{\left(\nabla_{X} \eta^{i}\right) \varphi Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} \eta^{i}\right) \varphi X+\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \eta^{i}\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \eta^{i}\right) X\right\} \xi_{i} \\
& -\sum_{i, q} F_{i q}\left\{\left(\nabla_{X} \eta^{i}\right) \varphi Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} \eta^{i}\right) \varphi X+\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \eta^{i}\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \eta^{i}\right) X\right\} \xi_{q}  \tag{14}\\
& +F_{2}\left\{2 n\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y\right)+\sum_{h} g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, X_{h}\right) X_{h}\right. \\
& -2 \sum_{h} g\left(\left(\nabla_{X_{h}} \varphi\right) X, Y\right) X_{h}+2 g(Y, \varphi X) \sum_{h}\left(\nabla_{X_{h}} \varphi\right) \varphi X_{h} \\
& \left.+\sum_{h} g\left(\left(\nabla_{X_{h}} \varphi\right) \varphi X_{h}, Y\right) \varphi X-\sum_{h} g\left(\left(\nabla_{X_{h}} \varphi\right) \varphi X_{h}, X\right) \varphi Y\right\}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $g(Y, X)=g(Y, \varphi X)=0,\|Y\|=\|X\|=1$ and taking the scalar product with $Y$, we get $\varphi X\left(F_{2}\right)+(2 n-1) F_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X, Y\right)=0$. Moreover, we apply (11) replacing $Y$ and $X$ with $\varphi X$ and $-\varphi Y$, respectively, and since $\varphi X\left(F_{2}\right)=-\varphi X\left(F_{1}\right)$ we get $\varphi X\left(F_{2}\right)=-3 F_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X, Y\right)$. Then, we obtain $(2 n-4) F_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X, Y\right)=0$. It follows that, if $n \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Y, X\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g(Y, X)=g(Y, \varphi X)=0$. Hence $\varphi X\left(F_{2}\right)=0$ and we obtain the constancy of $F_{2}, F_{1}, c$ along $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, the first and last equation in (9) and the hypothesis $g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)=0$ imply $\xi_{k}\left(F_{1}\right)=\xi_{k}\left(F_{2}\right)=0$. Hence $\xi_{k}(c)=0$, concluding the proof.

Remark 3. If $n=2$, by Proposition 3 b) and (12) we get $g(N(X, Y), Z)=0$ for any vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{4+s}\right)$. Furthermore, if the $\xi_{k}$ 's are Killing vector fields, and, for $n=2, d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$, then $M^{2 n+s}$ is an $f . p k$-space-form. Moreover, the condition $g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)=0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, which influences the dependence of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ on the $\xi_{k}$ 's, implies that the $\xi_{k}$ 's are Killing vector fields on $\mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 4. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form, $n \geq 2$, and assume that $F_{2}$ never vanishes. If either $n=2$ and $d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$, or $n \geq 3$, then $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are constant along $\mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a CR-integrable distribution.
Proof: Let us suppose $n=2$ and $d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$. Then (16) follows from c) of Proposition 3 and $g(N(X, Y), Z)=0$ for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T M^{4+s}\right)$. Now, we assume $n \geq 3$. Since $g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Y, Y\right)=$ $g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Y, \varphi Y\right)=0$, taking account of (15), we have $g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Y, X\right)=0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. By polarization, for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Z, X\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{Z} \varphi\right) Y, X\right)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in (14), we take the scalar product with any $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, use (17) and $F_{2} \neq 0$, obtain $g\left(\left(\nabla_{Z} \varphi\right) Y, X\right)-$ $(2 n+1) g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Z, X\right)=0$. Then, using (17), we get (16).

As concerns the functions $F_{h k}$, we have the following result.
Theorem 5. Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be a generalized $f$.pk-space-form, $n \geq 2$ such that $g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, X\right)=0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Then, for any $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and $h, k, t \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y\left(F_{k h}\right)-F_{1} \eta^{h}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} Y\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} F_{i h} \eta^{i}\left(\left[\xi_{k}, Y\right]\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} \eta^{h}\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}\right)=0 \\
& \xi_{h}\left(F_{k t}\right)-\xi_{k}\left(F_{h t}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{k j} \eta^{j}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{h}} \xi_{t}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{h j} \eta^{j}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \xi_{t}\right) \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j t} \eta^{j}\left(\left[\xi_{h}, \xi_{k}\right]\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: The first equation is the fourth equation in (9). To determine the dependence of the functions $F_{h k}$ on the $\xi_{j}$ 's, we apply the second Bianchi identity $\mathfrak{S}_{W, \xi_{h}, \xi_{k}}\left(\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)\left(\xi_{h}, \xi_{k}\right)\right) Z=0$ with $W, Z$ arbitrary vector fields in $\mathcal{D}$ and $h, k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Then, we consider the component in $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and using Proposition 3 a), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(\xi_{h}\left(F_{k j}\right)-\xi_{k}\left(F_{h j}\right)\right) g(Z, W) \xi_{j} \\
& +\sum_{i, j=1}^{s} F_{i j}\left\{g\left(Z, \nabla_{W} \xi_{h}\right) \delta_{k}^{i} \xi_{j}-g\left(Z, \nabla_{W} \xi_{k}\right) \delta_{h}^{i} \xi_{j}\right. \\
& +g(Z, W)\left(\delta_{k}^{i}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{h}} \xi_{j}\right)^{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}-\delta_{h}^{i}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \xi_{j}\right)^{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}-\eta^{i}\left(\left[\xi_{h}, \xi_{k}\right] \xi_{j}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the scalar product with any $\xi_{t}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(\xi_{h}\left(F_{k t}\right)-\xi_{k}\left(F_{h t}\right)\right) g(Z, W)+F_{i t}\left\{g\left(Z, \nabla_{W} \xi_{h}\right) \delta_{k}^{i}\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(Z, \nabla_{W} \xi_{k}\right) \delta_{h}^{i}\right\}-g(Z, W) F_{k j} \eta^{j}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{h}} \xi_{t}\right) \\
& +g(Z, W) F_{h j} \eta^{j}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \xi_{t}\right)-g(Z, W) F_{j t} \eta^{j}\left(\left[\xi_{h}, \xi_{k}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, putting $Z=W,\|W\|=1$, we complete the proof.

We end this section remarking that the different behavior in the cases $n=2$ and $n \geq 3$ is, in a certain sense, related to the results due to Tricerri and Vanhecke ([21]) and to Olszak ([20]). It is clear that there exist $f . p k$-manifolds such that $d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$. This happens, for example, for $\mathcal{K}$-manifolds and for $f . p k$-manifolds of Kenmotsu type. However it can simply happen that $d \eta^{k}=0$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and this implies that the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable. Then we can consider a $2 n$-dimensional integral submanifold $\bar{M}$ and using the Gauss equation we get that the second fundamental form is given by $\alpha(X, Y)=-\sum_{k=1}^{s} g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right) \xi_{k}$ and the curvature of $\bar{M}$ satisfies the following formula, for $X, Y, Z, W$ tangent to $\bar{M}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & R(X, Y, Z, W)+\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left\{g\left(Z, \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right) g\left(W, \nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right)\right.  \tag{18}\\
& \left.-g\left(Z, \nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right) g\left(W, \nabla_{X} \xi_{k}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f . p k$-space-form and suppose that $F_{2} \neq 0$ everywhere and any $\xi_{k}$ is a parallel vector field. Then, by Proposition 8 we have $F_{i j}=0$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Furthermore, $d \eta^{k}=0$ and $M^{2 n+s}$ is locally a Riemannian product of an integral submanifold of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{s}$. Moreover, $\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=R(X, Y, Z, W)=\left(F_{1} \pi_{1}+F_{2} \pi_{2}\right)(X, Y, Z, W)$, where $\pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)=$ $g(Z, Y) X-g(Z, X) Y$ and $\pi_{2}(X, Y, Z)=g(Z, \varphi Y) \varphi X-g(Z, \varphi X) \varphi Y+2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z$. Hence $(\bar{M}, J, \bar{g})$, with $J=\varphi_{\mid \bar{M}}, \bar{g}=g_{\mid \bar{M}}$ is a $2 n$-dimensional generalized complex space-form. Therefore, if $n \geq 3$, by the theorem of Tricerri and Vanhecke $\bar{M}$ is a Kählerian space-form. Then $d \Phi=0$ on $\mathcal{D}$ and, by Theorem 3. $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is an $f . p k$-space-form. Furthermore, if $d \Phi$ vanishes everywhere, the underlying structure is an almost $\mathcal{C}$-structure with Kählerian leaves and, being $\nabla \xi_{k}=0$, it is a $\mathcal{C}$-structure ([15]).

On the contrary, for $n=2$, Olszak proved that there exist generalized complex space-forms with $F_{2} \neq 0$ everywhere and non constant, characterized as Bochner flat globally conformal Kähler manifold. This allows to construct an example of $(4+s)$-dimensional generalized $f . p k$-space-form with p.c., non constant, $\varphi$-sectional curvature, which turns out to be the local model of such $(4+s)$-dimensional generalized $f$.pk-space-forms. In fact, one considers a 4 -dimensional generalized complex space-form $(\bar{M}, J, \bar{g})$ with $\bar{R}=f_{1} \pi_{1}+f_{2} \pi_{2}, f_{2}$ nowhere vanishing and non constant, and the Riemannian product $M^{4+s}=\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{s}$, with metric $g=\bar{g}+g_{0}, g_{0}$ being the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{s}$. We put $\xi_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}$ and define a (1,1)-tensor field $\varphi$ putting $\varphi \bar{X}=J \bar{X}$ for $\bar{X} \in \Gamma(T \bar{M}), \varphi \xi_{k}=0$ for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and the 1 -forms $\eta^{k}$ by $\eta^{k}(X)=g\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)$. It is easy to verify that ( $M^{4+s}, \varphi, \xi_{k}, \eta^{k}, g$ ) is a metric $f$.pk-manifold, the $\xi_{k}$ 's are parallel and the curvature satisfies (6) with any $F_{i j}=0, F_{1}=f_{1}$ and $F_{2}=f_{2}$ nowhere vanishing and non constant.

## $4 \mathcal{K}$-manifolds and generalized $f . p k$-space-forms

We begin recalling some known results.
Lemma 3. ([14]) Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be an $f . p k$-manifold with structure $\left(\varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$. If $M^{2 n+s}$ is normal then we have: $\left[\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right]=0,2 d \eta^{j}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)=-\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{i}} \eta^{j}\right) X=0, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_{i}} \varphi=0$, and $d \eta^{i}(\varphi X, Y)=-d \eta^{i}(X, \varphi Y)$, for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(T M^{2 n+s}\right)$.

Since a $\mathcal{K}$-manifold is a normal $f . p k$-manifold $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ with $d \Phi=0$, the $s$ vector fields $\xi_{i}$ are Killing ([3]). Moreover, (1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(d \eta^{j}(\varphi Y, X) \eta^{j}(Z)-d \eta^{j}(\varphi Z, X) \eta^{j}(Y)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \varphi=0$, for any $j \in\{1, \cdots, s\}$, and $\nabla \varphi=0$, if each $d \eta^{j}$ vanishes.

Theorem 6. ([9]) Let $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ be an $f . p k$-manifold. Then $M^{2 n+s}$ is a $\mathcal{K}$-manifold if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{i}} \eta^{j}=0$, for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and there exists a family of symmetric tensor fields of type $(1,1), A_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ which commute with $\varphi$ and satisfy

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{g\left(A_{i} X, Y\right) \xi_{i}-\eta^{i}(Y) A_{i} X\right\}
$$

In [9] it is also proved that the tensor fields of type $(1,1), A_{i}$, defined by $A_{i}(X)=\varphi\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{i}\right)$, satisfy the conditions in the previous theorem.

Theorem 7. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized $f$.pk-space-form, with a $\mathcal{K}$-structure and $n \geq 2$. If $F_{2}=0$ or $F_{2}$ never vanishes, then $M^{2 n+s}$ has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c=F_{1}+3 F_{2}$ and the functions in $\mathcal{F}$ depend on the direction of the $\xi_{k}$ 's, only, according to $\xi_{h}\left(F_{k t}\right)=\xi_{k}\left(F_{h t}\right)$, for any $h, k, t \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Proof: The statement follows from Theorem 5, since the structure is normal and the $\xi_{k}$ 's are Killing.

Theorem 8. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form, with a $\mathcal{K}$-structure, $n \geq 2$, and $F_{2} \neq 0$ everywhere. Then $M^{2 n+s}$ has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c=F_{1}+3 F_{2}$ and the functions in $\mathcal{F}$ verify the following conditions:

$$
F_{k h}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{h} \circ A_{k}\right)}{2 n}, \quad F_{k k}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{k}^{2}\right)}{2 n} \geq 0, \quad F_{h k}^{2}=F_{h h} F_{k k}
$$

Moreover, if $n \geq 3$, then $l=F_{1}-F_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{s} F_{k k}$ is a constant.
Proof: We apply the second Bianchi identity $\mathfrak{S}_{\xi_{h}, X, Y}\left(\left(\nabla_{\xi_{h}} R\right)(X, Y)\right) \xi_{k}=0$ with $X, Y$ unit vector fields in $\mathcal{D}$. and $h, k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & F_{h k} \eta^{j}([X, Y]) \xi_{j}+F_{i j}\left\{\eta^{i}\left(\left[\xi_{h}, X\right]\right) \delta_{k}^{j} Y-\eta^{i}\left(\left[\xi_{h}, Y\right]\right) \delta_{k}^{j} X\right. \\
& \left.-\eta^{k}([X, Y]) \delta_{h}^{i} \xi_{j}+\eta^{k}\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{j}\right) \delta_{h}^{i} Y-\eta^{k}\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{j}\right) \delta_{h}^{i} X\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and taking the scalar product with any $\xi_{t}$ we have

$$
F_{h k} \eta^{t}([X, Y])+F_{h t}\left\{g\left(\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}, Y\right)-g\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}, X\right)\right\}=0
$$

Since the $\xi_{i}$ 's are Killing, we get $g\left(X, F_{h k} \nabla_{Y} \xi_{t}-F_{h t} \nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right)=0$. Being $X \in \mathcal{D}$, we can write $g\left(\varphi X, F_{h k} \varphi\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{t}\right)-F_{h t} \varphi\left(\nabla_{Y} \xi_{k}\right)\right)=0$, and we obtain $F_{h k} A_{t}=F_{h t} A_{k}$, for any $h, k, t \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, which also implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{s} F_{i j} A_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} F_{i i} A_{j} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in this context, using (20), the second equation in (9) becomes

$$
\left(F_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right) g\left(A_{k} Y, Y\right)-F_{2} g\left(X, A_{k} X\right)=0
$$

We remark that (9), and then the above equation, holds for any unit vector fields $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $g(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)=0$. Now, each $A_{k}$, being a symmetric operator, is diagonalizable. Moreover each $\xi_{i}$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue zero and if $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is an eigenvector, then $\varphi X$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the same eigenvalue, since $A_{k}$ commutes with $\varphi$. Therefore, we can construct a $\varphi$-basis $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots X_{n}, \varphi X_{1}, \ldots, \varphi X_{n}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{s}\right\}$ of eigenvectors. For any $i, h \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, i \neq h$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(F_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right) g\left(A_{k} X_{h}, X_{h}\right)=F_{2} g\left(X_{i}, A_{k} X_{i}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \left(F_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right) g\left(A_{k} X_{i}, X_{i}\right)=F_{2} g\left(X_{h}, A_{k} X_{h}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the trace we get $\left(F_{1}-F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{k}\right)=0$. Now we consider $\Delta=\left(F_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right)^{2}-F_{2}^{2}=$ $\left(F_{1}-F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right)\left(F_{1}+F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}\right)$ and observe that $\Delta=0$. In fact if $\Delta \neq 0$, for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ locally we get $A_{k}=0$ which implies $\nabla_{X} \xi_{k} \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and $\nabla \xi_{k}=0$, so $d \eta^{k}=0$. It follows that, locally, $M^{2 n+s}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-manifold and by Proposition 8 and Remark 2 we have $F_{i j}=0, F_{1}=F_{2}$, $F_{1}-F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}=0$, obtaining a contradiction.
Hence we have $\Delta=0$ and the factors can not be both zero since $F_{2}$ nowhere vanishes. We claim that, if $n \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}-F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $F_{1}-F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j} \neq 0$, we get $F_{1}+F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}=0$ and, from (21), $g\left(A_{k} X_{h}, X_{h}\right)=$ $-g\left(X_{i}, A_{k} X_{i}\right), h \neq i$. So for the eigenvalues we have $\lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{2}=-\lambda_{3}=\cdots=-\lambda_{n}$ and $\lambda_{2}=-\lambda_{1}=$ $-\lambda_{3}=\cdots=-\lambda_{n}$, which, for $n \geq 3$, gives $A_{k}=0$, for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. As before one obtains a contradiction, namely $F_{1}-F_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{s} F_{j j}=0$.
We remark that for $n=2$ we obtain, $A_{k}=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda,-\lambda, \lambda,-\lambda, 0, \ldots, 0)$, with respect to the $\varphi$-basis of eigenvectors $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \varphi X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{s}\right\}$, and $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{k}^{2}\right)=4 \lambda^{2}$.
In any case, for $n \geq 2$, using Proposition 4, $\nabla_{X} \xi_{k}=-\varphi\left(A_{k} X\right)$ and Theorem 6, we get for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k, h \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$,

$$
F_{k h}=g\left(A_{k} X, A_{h} X\right)=g\left(\left(A_{h} \circ A_{k}\right) X, X\right) .
$$

It follows that $F_{k h}=\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{h} \circ A_{k}\right), F_{k k}=\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{k}^{2}\right), A_{k}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{k}^{2}\right) \varphi^{2}, F_{k k} \geq 0, F_{h k}^{2}=F_{h h} F_{k k}$, and, if $n \geq 3, l=F_{1}-F_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{s} F_{k k} \geq 0$ is a non negative constant, since $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are constant on $M^{2 n+s}$.

Remark 4. A $\mathcal{K}$-manifold is an $\mathcal{S}$-manifold if and only if $A_{i}=-\varphi^{2}$. Then, in the hypothesis of the previous Theorem, we get $A_{i}^{2}=\varphi^{4}=-\varphi^{2}=A_{i}$ and, comparing with $A_{i}^{2}=-F_{i i} \varphi^{2}=F_{i i} A_{i}$, we obtain $F_{k k}=1, F_{h k}=1$ and $F_{1}-F_{2}=s$, according to Proposition 7
A $\mathcal{K}$-manifold is a $\mathcal{C}$-manifold if and only if $A_{i}=0$. Then, in the hypothesis of the previous Theorem, we get $A_{i}^{2}=0$ and comparing with $A_{i}^{2}=-F_{i i} \varphi^{2}$ we obtain $F_{k k}=0, F_{h k}=0$ and $F_{1}-F_{2}=0$, according to Remark 2
Also $\mathcal{K}$-structures satisfying the conditions $d \eta^{i}=\Phi$ for some indexes $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $d \eta^{i}=0$ for the others have been studied in [9]. Such manifolds are subject to a theorem of local decomposition saying that a $\mathcal{K}$-manifold of dimension $2 n+s, s \geq 2$, which admits $r$ closed 1-forms among the $\eta^{i}$,s, $1 \leq r<s$, whereas the remaining $t=s-r$ coincide with $\Phi$, can be viewed locally as a product of an $\mathcal{S}$-manifold of dimension $2 n+t$ and a flat manifold of dimension $r$. Hence, the behavior of the $\varphi$-sectional curvature depends on the $\mathcal{S}$-factor.

## $5 f$-manifolds of Kenmotsu type and generalized $f . p k$-space forms

In [11, 12], we introduced the classes of (almost) Kenmotsu f.pk-manifolds. By definition, a metric $f . p k$-manifold $M^{2 n+s}, s \geq 1$, with $f . p k$-structure $\left(\varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$, is said to be an almost Kenmotsu $f . p k$ manifold if the 1 -forms $\eta^{i}$ 's are closed and $d \Phi=2 \eta^{1} \wedge \Phi$. A Kenmotsu $f . p k$-manifold is a normal almost Kenmotsu $f . p k$-manifold. We recall that $M^{2 n+s}$ is a Kenmotsu $f . p k$-manifold if and only if for any vector fields $X, Y$, one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=g(\varphi X, Y) \xi_{1}-\eta^{1}(Y) \varphi(X) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in a Kenmotsu $f . p k$-manifold we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \xi_{1}=-\varphi^{2}, \quad \nabla \xi_{j}=0,2 \leq j \leq s \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each $\xi_{j}$, with $j \neq 1$, is a Killing vector field. The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable and its leaves are $2 n$-dimensional totally umbilical Kähler manifolds with mean curvature vector field $H=-\xi_{1}$. The
distribution $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}=<\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{s}>$ is integrable, with totally geodesic flat leaves. When $s \geq 2$ we can consider the distribution $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D} \oplus\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle$, which is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic Kenmotsu manifolds, and the distribution $<\xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}>$, which is integrable with totally geodesic flat leaves. The existence of the described involutive distributions also allows to state that Kenmotsu f.pkmanifolds are locally classified as warped products $M^{s} \times_{f} N^{2 n}$ where $N^{2 n}$ is a Kähler manifold, $M^{s}$ is a flat manifold with coordinates $\left(t^{1}, \ldots, t^{s}\right)$, and $f=c^{\prime} e^{t^{1}}$ for some positive constant $c^{\prime}$. Furthermore, if $s \geq 2$, they can be viewed, locally, as Riemannian products of a Kenmotsu manifold and an (s-1)dimensional flat manifold. This implies that the behavior of the $\varphi$-sectional curvature depends on the Kenmotsu factor, only. Namely, we have (cf. [11])

Theorem 9. A Kenmotsu f.pk-manifold $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right)$ has p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ if and only if, for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$,

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z)= & \frac{c-3}{4}\left(g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} Y-g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \varphi^{2} X\right) \\
& +\frac{c+1}{4}(2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z+g(X, \varphi Z) \varphi Y-g(Y, \varphi Z) \varphi X)  \tag{25}\\
& -\eta^{1}(Z)\left(\eta^{1}(X) \varphi^{2} Y-\eta^{1}(Y) \varphi^{2} X\right) \\
& -\left(\eta^{1}(X) g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)-\eta^{1}(Y) g(\varphi X, \varphi Z)\right) \xi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 10. Let $\left(M^{2 n+s}, \varphi, \xi_{i}, \eta^{i}, g\right), n \geq 2$, be a Kenmotsu f.pk-manifold with pointwise constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$.
a) If $c$ is constant, then $c=-1$ and $M^{2 n+s}$ is locally a warped product $M^{s} \times_{f} N^{2 n}, M^{s}$ and $N^{2 n}$ being both flat manifolds and $f$ a positive function.
b) For any $p \in M^{2 n+s}$ with $c(p) \neq-1$ there exists a neighborhood $W=B^{s} \times F$ of $p$ such that $c_{\mid W} \neq-1$ everywhere, $g_{\mid W}=g_{0}+f^{2} \tilde{g}, c+1=k f^{-2}, k$ constant. Moreover $\left(B^{s}, g_{0}\right)$ is flat and $\left(F, J=\varphi_{\mid F}, \bar{g}=g_{\mid F}\right)$ is a Kähler manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c+1$.

Since (24) is a necessary condition for $f$.pk-Kenmotsu manifolds, we discuss the influence of such condition on the underlying $f . p k$-structure of a generalized $f . p k$-space-form.

Theorem 11. Let $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a generalized f.pk-space-form and suppose that $\nabla \xi_{k}=0$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\nabla \xi_{1}=-\varphi^{2}$. If $n \geq 3$ and $F_{2} \neq 0$ everywhere, then the underlying f.pk-structure is of Kenmotsu type if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{k}} \varphi=0$, for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Moreover, $M^{2 n+s}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a generalized f.pk-space-form with p.c., non constant, $\varphi$-sectional curvature and it is locally classified as in b) of Theorem 10.

Proof: By Proposition 9 we know that $F_{k j}=0$ for $(k, j) \neq(1,1)$, and $F_{11}=-1$. Obviously, $d \eta^{k}=0$ for $k \geq 2$ and one can easily verify that $d \eta^{1}=0$, so the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable. Then we can consider a $2 n$-dimensional integral submanifold $\bar{M}$ and using the Gauss equation we get that the second fundamental form is given by $\alpha(X, Y)=-g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} \xi_{1}\right) \xi_{1}$. By (18) and (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z)=R(X, Y, Z)-\pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)=\left(\left(F_{1}+1\right) \pi_{1}+F_{2} \pi_{2}\right)(X, Y, Z) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $\bar{M}$. Hence $(\bar{M}, J, \bar{g})$, with $J=\varphi_{\mid \bar{M}}, \bar{g}=g_{\mid \bar{M}}$ is a $2 n$-dimensional generalized complex space-form with functions $f_{1}=F_{1}+1, f_{2}=F_{2}$ and p.c. holomorphic sectional curvature $\bar{c}=1+c_{\mid \bar{M}}$.
From Lemma 1 we get $\xi_{k}\left(F_{1}\right)=\xi_{k}\left(F_{2}\right)=0$, for $k \geq 2, \xi_{1}\left(F_{1}\right)=-2\left(F_{1}+1\right), \xi_{1}\left(F_{2}\right)=-2 F_{2}$, which imply $\xi_{k}(c)=0$ for $k \geq 2$, and $\xi_{1}(c)=-2(c+1)$. Now, assuming $F_{2} \neq 0$ everywhere, the structure is subject to condition 1) and 2) of Theorem 3 and in both cases, we have

$$
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)=0, \quad g(N(X, Y), Z)=0, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}
$$

By direct computation we have $g\left(N(X, Y), \xi_{k}\right)=-d \eta^{k}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=0$ and $N$ vanishes on $\mathcal{D}$ and the integral submanifolds are Kähler. Therefore, if $n \geq 3$, by the theorem of Tricerri and Vanhecke $\bar{M}$ is a Kählerian space-form and $F_{2}$ must be constant on each $\bar{M}$.
Computing $\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y$, we obtain that equation (23) is satisfied with the only possible exception for $X=\xi_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Namely, in such a case, we have $\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \varphi\right) Y=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{k}} \varphi\right) Y$ and $g\left(\varphi \xi_{k}, X\right) \xi_{1}-\eta^{1}(X) \varphi \xi_{k}=0$. It follows that the structure is of Kenmotsu type if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{k}} \varphi=0$. Finally, we apply Theorem 10 and observe that case a) has to be excluded since $c=-1$ gives $F_{2}=0$.
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